GRAND CANYON		CLINICAL PRACTICE EVALUATION 3
U N I V E R S I T Y <sup>™</sup>	Lucia Valenzuela-Garza	20169671 STUDENT NUMBER
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	STUDENT NAME	STUDENT NUMBER
Bachelor of Science in Eler	nentary Education and Special Education	
SPE-448NB COURSE:	3/5/2018 START DATE:	4/29/2018 END DATE:
Joh COOPERATING SCHOOL NAME:	n Glenn Elementary School	
Texas SCHOOL STATE:		
COOPERATING TEACHER/MENTOR	Claudia Cardenas NAME:	
GCU FACULTY SUPERVISOR NAME	Cristy Bennett	

	FOR COURSE INSTRUCTORS O	NLY:
EVALUATION 3 TOTAL POINTS	193.6 points	%



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza

20169671

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

 Lucia Valenzuela-Garza
 20169671

 STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER\_\_\_\_\_\_

InTASC Scoring Guide						
Not Applicable	Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target					
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100			
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.			

Standard 1: Student Development		N/A
<b>1.1</b> Teacher candidates create developmentally appropriate instruction that takes into account individual students' strengths, interests, and needs and enables each student to advance and accelerate his or her learning.	95	
<b>1.2</b> Teacher candidates collaborate with families, communities, colleagues, and other professionals to promote student growth and development.	95	
Comments		



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza

20169671

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

**InTASC Scoring Guide** Unacceptable **Not Applicable** Acceptable Target N/A 2 to 79 80 to 86 87 to 100 The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the candidate is contrary to the standard candidate is frequently observed candidate is constantly and does not meet the expectations and meets expectations for a observed and consistently standard. exceeds expectations for a for a student teacher. student teacher. student teacher.

Standard 2: Learning Differences	Score	N/A
2.1		
Teacher candidates design, adapt, and deliver instruction to address each student's diverse learning strengths and needs and create opportunities for students to demonstrate their learning in different ways.	95	
2.2		
Teacher candidates incorporate language development tools into planning and instruction, including strategies for making content accessible to English language students and for evaluating and supporting their development of English proficiency.	95	
<b>2.3</b>		
Teacher candidates access resources, supports, specialized assistance and services to meet particular learning differences or needs.	95	
Comments		

\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_\_



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza \_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ STUDENT NAME

20169671

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

**InTASC Scoring Guide** Unacceptable Acceptable **Not Applicable** Target N/A 2 to 79 80 to 86 87 to 100 The performance of the teacher The performance of the teacher The performance of the teacher The performance of the candidate did not involve the candidate is contrary to the candidate is frequently observed teacher candidate is constantly standard and does not meet the and meets expectations for a observed and consistently standard. expectations for a student teacher. exceeds expectations for a student teacher. student teacher.

Standard 3: Learning Environments	Score	N/A
<b>3.1</b> Teacher candidates manage the learning environment to actively and equitably engage students by organizing, allocating, and coordinating the resources of time, space, and students' attention.	95	
<b>3.2</b> Teacher candidates communicate verbally and nonverbally in ways that demonstrate respect for and responsiveness to the cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives students bring to the learning environment.	95	
Comments		



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER\_\_\_\_\_

20169671

InTASC Scoring Guide						
Not Applicable	Not Applicable Unacceptable Acceptable Target					
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100			
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.			

Standard 4: Content Knowledge		N/A
<b>4.1</b>	100	
Teacher candidates stimulate student reflection on prior content knowledge, link new concepts to familiar concepts, and make connections to students' experiences.	100	
4.2		
Teacher candidates use supplementary resources and technologies effectively to ensure accessibility and	100	
relevance for all students.		
4.3		
Teacher candidates create opportunities for students to learn, practice, and master academic language in	100	
their content area.	<u> </u>	
Comments		



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza \_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

STUDENT NAME\_

20169671

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

**InTASC Scoring Guide** Unacceptable Acceptable **Not Applicable** Target N/A 2 to 79 80 to 86 87 to 100 The performance of the teacher The performance of the teacher The performance of the teacher The performance of the candidate did not involve the candidate is contrary to the candidate is frequently observed teacher candidate is constantly standard and does not meet the and meets expectations for a observed and consistently standard. expectations for a student teacher. exceeds expectations for a student teacher. student teacher.

Standard 5: Application of Content	Score	N/A
<b>5.1</b> Teacher candidates engage students in applying content knowledge to real-world problems through the lens of interdisciplinary themes (e.g., financial literacy, environmental literacy).	100	
<b>5.2</b> Teacher candidates facilitate students' ability to develop diverse social and cultural perspectives that expand their understanding of local and global issues and create novel approaches to solving problems.	100	
Comments		



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza

20169671

STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_\_

**InTASC Scoring Guide** Unacceptable Acceptable **Not Applicable** Target N/A 2 to 79 80 to 86 87 to 100 The performance of the teacher The performance of the teacher The performance of the teacher The performance of the candidate did not involve the candidate is contrary to the candidate is frequently observed teacher candidate is constantly standard and does not meet the and meets expectations for a observed and consistently standard. expectations for a student teacher. exceeds expectations for a student teacher. student teacher.

Standard 6: Assessment	Score	N/A
<b>6.1</b> Teacher candidates design assessments that match learning objectives with assessment methods and minimize sources of bias that can distort assessment results.	95	
<b>6.2</b> Teacher candidates work independently and collaboratively to examine test and other performance data to understand each student's progress and to guide planning.	95	
<b>6.3</b> Teacher candidates prepare all students for the demands of particular assessment formats and make appropriate modifications in assessments or testing conditions especially for students with disabilities and language learning needs.	95	
Comments		



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza

20169671

STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER\_\_\_\_\_

InTASC Scoring Guide				
Not ApplicableUnacceptableAcceptableTarget				
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100	
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.	

Score	N/A
95	
95	
95	
	95 95



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza STUDENT NUMBER STUDENT NAME

20169671

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

**InTASC Scoring Guide** Unacceptable **Not Applicable** Acceptable Target N/A 2 to 79 80 to 86 87 to 100 The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the candidate is contrary to the standard candidate is frequently observed candidate is constantly and does not meet the expectations and meets expectations for a observed and consistently standard. exceeds expectations for a for a student teacher. student teacher. student teacher.

Standard 8: Instructional Strategies	Score	N/A		
8.1				
Teacher candidates vary their role in the instructional process (e.g., instructor, facilitator, coach, audience) in relation to the content, purpose of instruction, and student needs	95			
8.2				
Teacher candidates engage students in using a range of learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret, evaluate, and apply information.	95			
8.3				
Teacher candidates ask questions to stimulate discussion that serve different purposes (e.g., probing for student understanding, helping students articulate their ideas and thinking processes, stimulating curiosity, and helping students to question).	95			
Comments				



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza

20169671

STUDENT NAME COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

**InTASC Scoring Guide Not Applicable** Acceptable Unacceptable Target 80 to 86 87 to 100 N/A 2 to 79 The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the candidate is contrary to the standard candidate is frequently observed candidate is constantly standard. and does not meet the expectations and meets expectations for a observed and consistently for a student teacher. student teacher. exceeds expectations for a student teacher.

Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice		N/A
<b>9.1</b> Independently and in collaboration with colleagues, teacher candidates use a variety of data (e.g., systematic observation, information about students, and research) to evaluate the outcomes of teaching and learning and to adapt planning and practice.	100	
<b>9.2</b> Teacher candidates actively seek professional, community, and technological resources, within and outside the school, as supports for analysis, reflection, and problem solving.	100	
Comments		



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza

20169671

STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER\_\_\_\_\_

InTASC Scoring Guide					
Not Applicable	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Target		
N/A	2 to 79	80 to 86	87 to 100		
The performance of the teacher candidate did not involve the standard.	The performance of the teacher candidate is contrary to the standard and does not meet the expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is frequently observed and meets expectations for a student teacher.	The performance of the teacher candidate is constantly observed and consistently exceeds expectations for a student teacher.		

Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration	Score	N/A		
<b>10.1</b> Teacher candidates use technological tools and a variety of communication strategies to build local and global learning communities that engage students, families, and colleagues.	100			
<b>10.2</b> Teacher candidates advocate to meet the needs of students, to strengthen the learning environment, and to enact system change.	100			
Comments				



Lucia Valenzuela-Garza 

 Lucia Valenzuela-Garza
 20169671

 STUDENT NAME\_\_\_\_\_\_\_STUDENT NUMBER\_\_\_\_\_\_

20169671

INSTRUCTIONS				
Please review the " <i>Total Scored Percentage</i> " for accuracy and add any attachments before completing the " <i>Agreement and Signature</i> " section. Once this evaluation is completed and submitted, the score is final and cannot be changed or altered by the GCU Faculty Supervisor or by GCU staff.				
Total Scored Percentage:	96.80 %			
	ATTACHMENTS			
Attachment 1: (Optional)				
Attachment 2: (Optional)				
AGREEMENT AND SIGNATURE				
This evaluation reflects the results of a collaborative conference including feedback from the Cooperating / Mentor Teacher. The GCU Faculty Supervisor and Cooperating /Mentor Teacher should collaboratively review the performance in each category prior to the evaluation meeting.				
I attest this submission is accurate, true, and in compliance with GCU policy guidelines, to the best of my ability to do so.				
GCU Faculty Supervisor E-Signature	<u>Cristy Bennett</u> Cristy Bennett (Apr 6, 2018)	Date	Apr 6, 2018	